Chapter 10 - Faculty (edited Policy Manual ver. 11/20/2024)

(<mark>current table of contents</mark> - we will update this later when draft is finalized)

10.1 Tenure and Non-Tenure Appointments

10.2 Criteria for Promotions

10.3 Assignment of Academic Rank

10.4 Qualifications for Specific Ranks

10.5 Review and Promotion Procedures

10.6 Post-Tenure Effort Allocation

10.7 Review of Tenured Faculty Members

10.8 Part-Time Faculty Members

10.9 Specialized-Track Faculty

10.9(1) Clinical-Track Faculty

10.9(2) Research-Track Faculty

10.9(3) Instructional Faculty

10.10 (Reserved for future use)

10.11 (Reserved for future use)

10.12 Fixed-Term Faculty Appointments

10.13 Exceptions to Employment Regulations

This revised III-10 faculty policy will be reviewed by the Faculty Senate in consultation with the Provost and General Counsel five years after its initial implementation. This review will assess the effectiveness and impact of the policy on all faculty tracks.

10.1 Purpose

This policy addresses the rights and responsibilities of faculty at the University of Iowa. The sections below explain the role of faculty and the principles of academic vitality that are the foundation of a thriving intellectual community, describe the different types of faculty appointments, and detail University policies and procedures governing faculty appointments and reviews. All collegiate policies governing faculty appointments must be consistent with relevant provisions of III-10. In non-departmentalized colleges the term DEO refers to the dean.

10.2 The Role of Faculty

Faculty enable the University to fulfill its institutional mission through their performance in three sometimes overlapping domains: teaching, scholarship, and service (see I.2.1 University of Iowa Purpose and Mission). The subsections below provide guidance and examples that colleges can

Commented [EG1]: https://opsmanual.uiowa.edu/governance/university-iowa/purpose-and-mission

draw upon to recognize and categorize faculty work commonly associated with each domain. Although the efforts of each faculty member are not distributed evenly or identically among these various roles, all faculty contribute to the shared goal of maintaining the University's status as a top tier comprehensive public institution of higher education.

- a. Teaching: Teaching is work performed by faculty to inform, assist, and inspire students in their learning. Teaching includes the preparation and updating of course materials, syllabi, lectures, discussion topics, assignments, and examinations, and may occur in the classroom and laboratory, in clinical settings, or online. Faculty also meet with their students outside of class or clinic, evaluate and provide feedback on student work, write and submit letters of recommendation, mentor undergraduate, graduate and/or professional students, serve on masters and doctoral level comprehensive examination and thesis committees, train and supervise teaching assistants, and participate in diverse initiatives to improve instruction.
- b. Scholarship: Scholarship is work performed by faculty that contributes to the expansion of knowledge and/or creative achievements in their disciplines. Inclusive of artistic as well as analytic and research-intensive endeavors, scholarship encompasses activities that can take place in various settings, such as laboratories, libraries, studios, offices, and in the field. Faculty work both independently and with collaborators, including undergraduate, graduate, and professional students. Faculty also prepare and submit proposals to obtain support for their projects, and they share the results in venues ranging from professional meetings, scholarly journals, and academic books to concert halls, podcasts, and novels.
- c. Service: Service is work performed by faculty outside the domains of teaching and scholarship to support the University and to contribute, as representatives of the institution possessed of relevant expertise, to the surrounding community, their disciplines, and the broader society. Faculty provide administrative work for their programs, departments, colleges, and the University, for instance by serving on collegiate committees and in important departmental administrative positions such as Director of Undergraduate or Graduate Studies. In addition, faculty care for patients in health care settings, hold offices in professional organizations and help organize professional meetings, serve as peer reviewers for scholarly journals, offer advice and input to corporate and government funding agencies, and provide educational outreach programs for various constituencies.

10.3 Faculty and Academic Vitality

The University of Iowa aspires to the highest standards of excellence in upholding its core values and recognizes that achieving this goal requires its continuing commitment to three essential principles of academic vitality as the cornerstone of its relationship to faculty: academic freedom, shared governance, and tenure. The subsections below explain each of these principles.

- a. Academic Freedom: The principle of academic freedom is codified in two policies adopted by the lowa Board of Regents. 3.10 (A) stipulates that "University teachers shall be entitled to academic freedom in the classroom in discussing the teachers' course subject but shall not introduce into the teaching controversial matters than have no relation to the subject." 3.1 (B) further stipulates that "Universities shall uphold the principle of academic freedom in their research and creative endeavors and support full freedom, within the law, of expressions in research investigation and dissemination of results through presentation, performance, and publication." The University of Iowa supports academic freedom for all faculty regardless of track or rank.
- b. Shared Governance: The principle of shared governance expects the University's administrative leadership, which has authority over institutional decisions, to collaborate with faculty to ensure that important departmental, collegiate, and university level decisions are reached via a process of dialogue and deliberation that gives respectful consideration to the views of impacted stakeholders. Faculty have the right and responsibility to select the colleagues who represent them on elective shared governance bodies. These representatives advocate not only for educational and academic considerations to be factored into institutional decision-making but also for faculty to play a leading role in developing and implementing the University's educational, research, and clinical missions by exercising judgment derived from their advanced training and expertise.
- c. Tenure: The principle of tenure grew out of the desire to protect the ability of faculty to pursue new ideas, express divergent viewpoints, and make inquiries unbounded by present norms. For this reason, it speaks to the ideal that members of the faculty should be given the opportunity to earn, typically via an extended probationary period, a faculty appointment with indefinite employment and enhanced due process protections, termination from which is possible only for cause or under extraordinary circumstances. The tenure system not only allows faculty to engage in long range externally visible scholarly activity but also enables the University to remain competitive in recruiting and retaining talented faculty who might not otherwise be attainable. While the needs of the institution have changed over time, and not all faculty are appointed to the tenure track, the University remains committed to the goal of employing a substantial percentage of faculty on tenured or tenurable appointments.

10.4 Faculty Appointments

Regular faculty appointments consist of those on the Tenure Track (see 10.5) and Specialized Tracks (see 10.6). The latter group comprises Clinical, Research, and Instructional Track positions. Regular faculty have promotion pathways as defined below and have due process protections described in III-29 Faculty Dispute Procedures. These appointments may be full or part time. Each regular faculty track includes the ranks of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, and Professor. In cases where short-term or limited-term faculty needs arise, Fixed-Term faculty (see 10.7) may be appointed. These include visitors, adjuncts, and instructors. Fixed-term faculty are not considered to be regular faculty and are not covered by III.29 Faculty Dispute Procedures.

Commented [EG2]: https://opsmanual.uiowa.edu/human-resources/faculty-dispute-procedures

10.1 Tenure and Non-Tenure Appointments

a.—Statement on tenure and academic vitality at The University of Iowa (Regents 2/14/74).

(1) Introduction. From the end of World War II until the late 1960s, higher education in the United States experienced rapidly expanding student demand coupled with an even more rapid expansion of the world's knowledge base. Throughout this period, faculties and facilities increased constantly and substantially in an effort to keep pace with the enlarging student bodies. The twenty-year period involved was among the most exciting and vital in the history of higher education. As younger, recently trained faculty members came to campuses all over the country, their energy and enthusiasm contributed greatly to the academic vitality of higher education.

Student demand for higher education has leveled off and is likely to remain level for some years to come. With the leveling off of student demand, the influx of new faculty members has diminished. The challenge facing The University of Iowa—along with all similar institutions—is to retain and increase its academic vitality and flexibility in a period of a relatively stable student body and faculty. The challenge is not new to American higher education, which previously has had to maintain its vitality in times of stable enrollments and faculty.

(2)—Basic Premises. The basic assumptions on which The University of Iowa proposes to function over the next several years are: First, tenure will continue as a cornerstone of the University's relationship with faculty members. Tenure is not only consistent with academic vitality but essential to it. Second, consistent with the University's educational needs and as permitted by its resources, faculty members in probationary status will be given the opportunity to acquire tenure if their performance merits tenure. No system of tenure quotas is contemplated. Third, the University must provide mechanisms by which a varied group of new faculty members come to the University so that the institution may continuously revitalize itself. Fourth, the University will retain the flexibility to adjust its educational programs to meet the changing needs of students and society, and to take into account advances in the world's knowledge base. In the process of making such adjustments, every effort will be made to plan well in advance, and the faculty will play a major role in defining institutional needs in the process of departmental, collegiate, and University decision making.

Tenure is not a very well-understood concept. If a university is to perform its function effectively, it is essential that faculty members in their teaching and research feel free to express new ideas and divergent viewpoints. In the process of teaching and research, accepted "truths" often must be challenged and questioned. A good university must create an atmosphere which, in a positive way, encourages faculty members to express new ideas and divergent viewpoints and to make inquiries unbounded by present norms. Such an atmosphere currently exists at The University of Iowa; and tenure has contributed substantially to the creation of this atmosphere and to its continuance. Put simply, free inquiry and expression are essential to the maintenance of excellence; tenure is essential to free inquiry and

Commented [EG3]: This original introduction to III-10 dates back nearly 50 years and was rewritten. The key considerations and current practice/language were incorporated into the new introduction shown above. The definition of tenure and expectations are better defined and understood today. Also academic freedom extends beyond the tenured faculty and recognition of the specialized tracks is absent.

expression; The University of Iowa's consistent goal is excellence; and the tenure system must continue if the University is to recruit and maintain a distinguished faculty. While tenure would be an integral part of the University's relationship with the faculty without regard to the competitive situation, it also is important to note that the outstanding universities throughout the country have tenure systems and that The University of Iowa's competitive position as it attempts to recruit and to retain outstanding faculty members would be damaged beyond repair if tenure were abandoned or seriously weakened.

As a job security system, tenure is not substantially different from the job security aspects of civil service systems for state and federal employees; nor are they very different from job protection provisions in union contracts generally. The probationary period preceding the granting of tenure is, of course, longer than similar periods under civil service systems and union contracts — five or six years as opposed to six months or one year. Once the probationary period has passed, all of the systems contemplate job security only to the extent that either resources permit or the need for the services continue to exist, or both; and before the employment relationship of such personnel can be terminated for inadequate performance, all of the systems require a showing of adequate cause (inadequate performance) at a hearing. In addition, the tender of ultimate job security is an important mechanism for inducing qualified persons to aspire to careers in the area involved. While the job-security aspects of tenure bear surface relationship to other job-security systems, the primary rationale for tenure is that it is essential to the creation and maintenance of an atmosphere which encourages the free exchange of ideas so necessary to educational vitality.

- (3)—The tenured faculty. The cornerstone on which excellence has been created at The University of lowa, both in periods of growth and stability, is its tenured faculty. The tenured faculty at The University of lowa has never been stagnant. It contributes greatly to the University's vitality. It will continue to do so. As a general proposition, the excellence and vitality of the tenured faculty is motivated from within individual faculty members, and to some extent, from peer pressures. Some institutional mechanisms also have contributed and will continue to contribute to the vitality. Thus, the University, in consultation with faculty members, plans:
 - (a) To continue its present faculty compensation policy which rewards excellence;
 - (b) To expand its constant evaluation of teaching effectiveness of tenured as well as non-tenured faculty members and its explorations of mechanisms for improving such effectiveness;
 - (c)—To continue in University-sponsored research a system of campus-wide peer review of research proposals and results to assure consistently high scholarly productivity by faculty members;
 - (d)—To continue departmental, collegiate, and University-wide reviews and priority planning efforts to assure that resources are made available to new programs and to programs enjoying significant increases in student interest, or, where necessary, programs be modified, consolidated with other programs, or eliminated;

- (e)—To continue to review and evaluate the administrative structures within which educational programs function to assure that the educational goals of the University are being met as effectively as possible;
- (f)—To encourage the exchange of faculty with other universities on a visiting basis so that new ideas and approaches will be brought to the campus both by the visiting teachers from other institutions and by the lowa professors upon their return to campus;
- (g)—To fill some existing teaching positions on a permanently rotating basis with persons from other institutions or professions for periods ranging from one or two weeks to a semester or a year;
- (h) To encourage the development of team teaching of courses by combining senior and junior faculty members from the same department as well as interdisciplinary team teaching by faculty members from different departments;
- (i)—To encourage the expansion of the present program of faculty seminars in which faculty members learn from each other;
- (j)—To seek to expand the program of developmental leaves to encourage faculty members who wish assistance in keeping abreast of newly developed and developing knowledge and instructional approaches;

To develop a system of tenure for part-time faculty members so that a faculty member might hold a tenured position at The University of Iowa for one semester and a position at some other university for the other semester; or hold a tenured position for one semester at the University and some other job — perhaps in industry — for the balance of the year, and so that the University might take advantage of the talents of persons who, while completely qualified to join the faculty and remain on it for a long period of time, are unable, for one reason or another, to work full time as a faculty member.

Other than internal pressures for excellence within individual faculty members and, perhaps, peer pressure, the merit salary system is the most important mechanism available to the University for assuring the continued academic vitality of tenured faculty members. While the University does not control the total number of dollars available for faculty salary increases, it can and must control the allocation of dollars it has so that excellence may be rewarded. For many years, the University has stressed to the Board of Regents and to the Legislature that its top budgetary priority was salaries and that whatever was made available for faculty salaries would be awarded on a merit basis.

In establishing faculty salaries the University has attempted — not always successfully — to be competitive; and when funds have been available for salary increases, the effort consistently has been and will continue to be to reward meritorious performance. Continued teaching and research excellence, and to a lesser extent the quality of other major professional contributions to the University or to society in general, form the basis for salary increases as they do for promotion and tenure. While objective data should be considered in making salary judgments, and while the department's or college's particularized statement of expectations concerning teaching, research, and other professional contributions should serve as primary guidelines, it should be understood that the judgments being made relate in large part to the quality of the faculty member's professional performance and such judgment cannot be quantified. A faculty salary system which recognizes merit will function to encourage a continued striving for excellence and one that also

recognizes the need to recruit and retain the best available persons who will help keep the institution vital.

While the catalog of mechanisms available for encouraging continued high level performance by tenured faculty members is not complete, the list set forth does suggest that many patterns are available to encourage such performance. It should be emphasized again that more important to academic vitality than University programs to encourage it are the inner mechanisms within individual faculty members which "compel" a constant striving for excellence. These inner mechanisms have contributed most to making the University the excellent institution it is and with whatever encouragement is possible from the institution, these mechanisms will function to assure a continuation of excellence.

10.5 Tenure Track Faculty

Tenure Track faculty enable the University to fulfill its academic mission by excelling in teaching and scholarship and providing committed long-term service. They also anchor the broader faculty for multiple reasons. Given that their appointments require them to develop a diversified skillset rather than specialize in one domain, the experience and perspectives Tenure Track faculty derive from shouldering responsibilities in teaching, scholarship, and service equip them to play an indispensable role in shared governance. In addition, their substantial due process protections enable them to defend all faculty against incursions on academic freedom, Specialized Track and Fixed-Term faculty included. Finally, the University's commitment to the long-term careers of Tenure Track faculty enhances the stability of the faculty as a whole and thus also the stability and growth of the institution in ways that promote successful achievement of its strategic goals.

establishing and maintaining a university of excellence and vitality, the most vital institutional decision points are the initial appointment, the reappointment review, and the time of the tenure decision. At each of these decision points, there must be University-wide review to assure adherence to University-wide standards.

Initial probationary appointment. When making an initial probationary appointment, the condition precedent must be a determination that the person being considered is likely to fulfill their employment responsibilities in a fashion that will result in of a quality that their performance is likely to lead to an affirmative tenure decision. Only if the record presented leads to such a conclusion should an initial offer be tendered. Most initial probationary appointments at the University of lowe are for 1) three years for colleges having a collegiate norm to make a tenure decision of not more than six years, or 2) four years for any college having a collegiate norm to make a tenure decision of more than six years. With the approval of the DEO, the dean of the college, and the provost, shorter initial appointments can be made for individuals with prior related experience.

And after the initial appointment begins, probationary faculty should shall be reviewed annually until the tenure decision with the results reported by the appropriate collegiate dean to the Executive Vice President and Provost on the form provided by the latter's office provost. Initiation of the annual review is the responsibility of the dean and DEO. It is expected that the annual review will be performed in consultation with the individual faculty member.

(t)(b) Reappointment review (President 10/85; amended 2/01; 5/07). Most initial probationary appointments at The University of lowa are for 1) three years for colleges having a collegiate norm to make a tenure decision of not more than six years, or 2) four years for any college having a collegiate norm to make a tenure decision of more than six years, Aat the end of which time the initial probationary period, the candidate can may be reappointed following a reappointment review. See paragraph (c) below. This reappointment review substitutes for the annual probationary review in the year it takes place. With the approval of the DEO, the dean of the college, and the Executive Vice President and Provost, shorter initial appointments can be made.

(m)(c) Time in which to make tTenure decision. Faculty must be considered for tenure at the beginning of their final probationary year. See paragraph (x) below. The norm for making the tenure decision shall be the sixth year of probationary service, except for the Colleges of Law, Medicine, and Dentistry. The norm for the College of Law shall be the fifth year. The Colleges of Dentistry and Medicine may establish a norm of no more than eight years for all tenure-track faculty members with significant patient care responsibilities. Other faculty in these two colleges will be subject to the six year norm. A new collegiate norm of more than six years must be approved by a majority of the tenured faculty of the respective college, the dean of the respective college, and the Executive Vice President and Provost. The new norm becomes effective upon approval by the Executive Vice President and Provost. Other colleges may request that the Faculty Senate authorize consideration of changes in their own collegiate norms.

(o)(e) Extensions.

(i) Automatic Parental Extension: For each minor child (e.g., biological, adopted, stepchild, or by guardianship) added to the family of a probationary faculty member from two years prior to the initial appointment through September 1 of the tenure decision year, and upon relevant notification, the faculty member's probationary period shall be automatically extended twelve months per child (up to two children). Extensions for the addition of more than two children may be considered under the Discretionary Extensions provisions (e)(ii).

It is a faculty member's responsibility to notify their DEO, dean, or Provost provost of the relevant qualifying event that activates the automatic extension of the faculty member's tenure clock. The provost's office shall establish and manage the process. The Provost's office shall remind probationary faculty annually of the extension policy and direct probationary faculty to a person in the Provost's office to whom they may provide the notification that activates the automatic extension. Probationary faculty may also provide the relevant notification through any other form of communication with their DEO, dean, or Provost. When providing the relevant notification, the faculty member shall provide the name(s) of the minor child(ren) and the date on which the child(ren) joined the family (e.g., birth date, adoption date). This notification can be submitted at any time, but, if the faculty member expects an automatic extension to be granted in what would otherwise have been the tenure decision year, notification must be submitted no later than the department or college deadline for dossier submission and in no case later than September 1 by which faculty members are expected to submit their dossiers for review. Upon receipt of the notice, the Associate Provost associate provost for Faculty faculty shall issue a written acknowledgment to the dean, with a copy to the probationary faculty member, confirming the extension and resetting the relevant tenure decision dates (e.g., for reappointment, tenure review). A faculty member with an extended tenure clock may request voluntary review (i.e., the option granted to all faculty members to request review before their official tenure year) without declining the automatic extension. To decline an automatic extension (i.e., have clock reset to the previous tenure review date), a faculty member must submit written notification to their DEO (when applicable) or dean. Notification can be submitted at any time, but, if a faculty member wants to be considered for promotion in the upcoming academic year, notification must be submitted no later than the department or college deadline by which faculty members must notify their DEOs of their desire to be considered for voluntary review (i.e., review at any time prior to the required tenure review year). If such a date is not specified in a college's written procedures, the deadline for notification will be no later than the first day of the academic year in

which the promotion decision is to be made. The DEO shall advance

notice of the declination to the dean and the Executive Vice
President and Provost. When a faculty member declines an
automatic extension, their tenure clock is reset to its previous date
and the tenure expectations remain the same as for probationary
faculty members who did not decline or were not eligible for an
extension. The Associate Provost for Faculty will issue a written
confirmation of the declination, including the reset tenure clock
date, to the dean, with copy to the probationary faculty member.
Once declined, a faculty member is not able to reinstate an
automatic extension for the same minor child. A faculty member
with an extended tenure clock may request voluntary review (i.e., the
option granted to all faculty members to request review before their
official tenure year) without declining the automatic extension.

(ii) Discretionary Extension: The probationary period may be extended upon the mutual agreement of the probationary faculty member, the DEO (when applicable), the dean, and the Executive Vice President and Provostprovost. This request may be due to because of a professional or personal impediment, such as the assumption of additional teaching or clinical responsibilities above the normal load at the request of the department or college, the failure of the University to provide resources in a timely manner if the resources are promised in writing, personal health reasons, the assumption of significant ongoing care responsibilities for a spouse, domestic partner, or minor or adult child, or parent with a serious health problem, or because of the death of the faculty member's spouse, domestic partner, or minor or adult child. The faculty member is responsible for describing and documenting the unusually difficult circumstances posed by the impediment in their request.

Requests for Discretionary Extensions shall be submitted by the faculty member to the DEO (when applicable), who shall advance a recommendation to the dean for review, recommendation, and subsequent routing to the Executive Vice President and Provest provest for approval. The Associate Provest for Faculty associate provest for faculty will issue a written decision to the dean, with a copy to the probationary faculty member, and, if approved, the written decision will include the new tenure decision date(s). Generally, no extensions under this paragraph (e)(ii) shall extend the probationary period two years beyond what the period would have been, taking into account the relevant collegiate norm and any previously issued automatic or discretionary extensions.

(iii) When the probationary period of a faculty member is extended by one or more years, then the faculty member's reappointment and tenure review dates are postponed by the same number of years (e.g., a probationary faculty member who receives a one-year extension in their second year would have their "third-year" review

postponed one year to allow for a full three years of preparation; their tenure decision date would also be postponed by one year). Tenure expectations remain the same for probationary faculty members who have received an automatic or discretionary extension. The Office of the Executive Vice President and Provost shall annually notify probationary faculty members, DEOs, and deans of the existence of this policy.

- (iv)(iii) Impact of extensions on expectations of scholarship. No expansion of a faculty member's probationary period as a result of an extension under paragraph (e) above shall result in any increase in the quantity or quality of the probationary faculty member's expected scholarship from what would have been expected had that faculty member been considered for promotion or tenure in the final year of probationary service as defined by the collegiate norms.
- (p)—Prior related experience. In some cases, prior related experience coupled with excellence in teaching and research will warrant a shorter probationary period to be established at the time the faculty member is hired by the University.
- (f) Establishment of normstandards. The establishment of a norm standards will-permits a thorough faculty evaluation and provides career development guidance for the faculty member will give the faculty member sufficient time to establish a strong record of performance. Each academic unit is expected to develop and distribute to all departmental faculty members a written statement of expectations to guide departmental deliberations concerning the granting of tenure the statement to serve as a guide to the department in its deliberations, and to the faculty member whose tenure status is being considered. A candidate for reappointment shall be evaluated in accordance with III-10.5b see III-10.5b and below. (See also III-29.5f(2) III-29.5f(2)

Annual reviews of the performance of probationary faculty members should be made and a full-scale departmental-collegiate review shall be made during the reappointment review conducted in the third or fourth year of service, depending upon the collegiate norm. See paragraph (4)(b) above. Reappointment reviews should take into account the faculty member's proven teaching effectiveness and research productivity and potential. It also should include an evaluation of departmental, collegiate, and University educational goals and include a determination of the likely role of the faculty member in achieving such goals. Only if an institutional need is found likely to exist for a person with the faculty member's substantive background, and only if the faculty member's teaching effectiveness and research productivity and potential are deemed of such a quality that an affirmative tenure decision is likely to be made, should something other than a terminal appointment be tendered. To assure unified decision making at this point, full central administration review of the departmental-collegiate

recommendation is necessary.

- (q)(g) Annual and Reappointment Reviews of Probationary Faculty.

 Probationary faculty members shall be reviewed annually in accordance with section XX below. A comprehensive departmental-collegiate review shall be performed during the reappointment review. Reappointment reviews shall evaluate the faculty member's teaching effectiveness, scholarly productivity, and ongoing development and provide feedback with respect to the departmental expectations for promotion.
- (h) The tenure decision (President 10/85; amended 2/01; 4/06; 5/07). In making a tenure decision, teaching, research, and other professional contributions must be considered. Further, the institution's overall educational needs must be taken into account along with the institution's fiscal ability to support the position occupied by the faculty member. Thus, the tenure decision has two elements: 1) an evaluation of the actual performance of the individual involved; and 2) an evaluation of institutional needs—educational and fiscal. A candidate for tenure shall be evaluated in accordance with III-10.5b below. (See also III-29.5f(2).). The tenure decision is derived from an evaluation of teaching, scholarship, and service. Teaching and scholarship are the most important elements to be considered and will, in the context of a tenure decision, usually demonstrate clear evidence of interconnection.

The University is committed to the proposition that neither teaching nor research standing alone justifies the granting of tenure. The first step in a tenure decision should be an evaluation of teaching effectiveness. Once the effectiveness of teaching is determined, then consideration shall be given to an evaluation of scholarly competence. If both teaching and scholarship are determined to meet the standards, an affirmative tenure decision is justifiable.

While the individual evaluation relates to teaching, research, and other professional contributions, the University's policy recognizes specifically that the first two elements — teaching and research — are the fundamental tasks of a faculty member. Although teaching is a faculty member's primary obligation, teaching and research are inextricably intertwined. Over a period of years, outstanding university teaching is unlikely to be maintained in the absence of strong research efforts by the faculty member. And university research — as distinguished from similar work off campus — normally is stimulated and encouraged by the faculty member's teaching role.

The first step in a tenure decision should be an evaluation of teaching effectiveness. Only after an affirmative judgment as to teaching effectiveness is made should serious consideration be given to an evaluation of research competence. Unless a determination is made that the faculty member involved is an effective teacher — whether at the departmental or interdisciplinary level —tenure is not and should not be granted. Only after an affirmative decision as to teaching effectiveness is

made should consideration be given to research. And only after both of the basic criteria are satisfied is an affirmative tenure decision possible. The University is committed to the proposition that neither teaching nor research standing alone justifies the granting of tenure. In the absence of research, it is believed — and strongly believed — that teaching effectiveness will not be maintained for a lifetime career. Thus, while teaching effectiveness is the condition precedent to a consideration of the quality of research, in the absence of quality research, teaching effectiveness alone will not permit the granting of tenure.

In summary, The University of Iowa is both a teaching and research institution, as all good universities are. Unless both tasks are accomplished, the University's vitality will be sapped and neither function will be performed well. As noted, the two functions cannot be separated. Unless a faculty member is able and willing to permit their ideas to be evaluated by peers, the faculty member is not performing fully the function assigned, and effective teaching is unlikely to continue.

Throughout the process of making a tenure decision, all concerned must recognize that an affirmative tenure decision is a prediction of future conductperformance, which prediction is based primarily on past performance. Unless those making the decision have a record of excellence before them, — a record of excellence in both teaching and research — the prediction about the future is too uncertain to justify an affirmative decision. Any other premise is inconsistent with the "permanence" associated with tenure. The tenure decision is the most important quality control available to the University. And unless the record presented is one of excellence in both teaching and research, an affirmative prediction about the future is too uncertain to be tolerated. In making a tenure recommendation to the Board of Regents, the University must be taken as saying that its prediction is based on a record of excellence.

The process of making a tenure recommendation to the Board of Regents shall follow University and collegiate Procedures for Tenure and Promotion Decision Making at The University of Iowa. The process starts with a review of the faculty member's performance by the tenured members of the department involved, the review to be initiated instituted by the DEO. White not all-controlling, a An affirmative peer group recommendation is an almost necessary condition typically essential to the granting of tenure. At the institutional level, the record and recommendations are examined carefully to assure that applicable standards have been met.

Because of the significance of peer group recommendations, each academic unit is expected to develop and distribute to all departmental faculty members and to all persons under serious consideration for appointment to the faculty a written and particularized statement of expectations to guide departmental deliberations concerning the granting of tenure—the statement to serve as a guide to the department in its deliberations, and to the faculty member whose tenure status is being

considered. The particularized statement must, of course, be consistent with University-wide policy relating to teaching, research, and other professional activities. In addition, all concerned should recognize that a decision relating to quality must be made and that such a decision can be quantified only in part. The nature of the decision is such that it necessarily is subjective to some extent.

Normally faculty are evaluated for tenure by the standards in place at the time of the deliberation. If there were changes to faculty standards during the probationary period, the faculty member may choose to be evaluated under the standards previously in place. This determination must be made in writing prior to submission of the tenure dossier.

In the event of a negative tenure decision after review at all appropriate levels, the faculty member will receive notice of a terminal year. The faculty member may choose to grieve the decision per the Faculty Dispute Procedures (III.29xx). Within the University administration, consultation occurs and the record and recommendations are examined carefully to assure that University standards have been met. As at all levels, educational goals, program changes, and fiscal needs must be taken into account and may lead to a decision to deny tenure despite a record of teaching and research accomplishment sufficient to support an affirmative decision on the basis of performance. At the departmental level, departmental educational goals and fiscal needs must be considered; at the collegiate level, collegiate educational goals and fiscal needs must be taken into account; and at the central level, overall University needs play a dominant role. To assure fairness to faculty members who are denied tenure or whose contracts are not renewed because of a shift in educational goals and/or financial resources, every reasonable effort should be made to give advance notice of possible shifts, and to protect the professional reputations of such faculty members. As part of that protection, the affected faculty member shall be given a written statement documenting the reason(s) for the decision. While changing educational goals and/or fiscal resources are a necessary consideration in the making of tenure decisions, such changes must not be asserted unless real, and they must not be used as a ground for denying tenure when, in fact, tenure is being denied for other, perhaps improper, reasons.

The final step, of course, is a University recommendation to the Board of Regents. The process is complex and difficult. Many of the ideas expressed above — uniform University-wide review of promotions and tenure, the insistence on "hard" data with respect to teaching effectiveness, careful review of research output — stem from a resolution adopted unanimously by the Faculty Senate in October of 1972 and codified substantially in University regulations. Fairness to all — the probationary faculty members, the students, the institution, and the State of Iowa — require the kind of review described above. The University's academic excellence and vitality require such a review.

Tenure-track faculty hired on or before the adoption by a college of a collegiate norm of more than six years (or more than five years in the College of Law) may elect to have a tenure decision made in accordance with any new collegiate norm adopted by the college pursuant to this section as amended in 2007. In the absence of an election, the collegiate norm for such tenure-track faculty shall be six years (or five years in the College of Law). An election shall be made on or before the end of the third month following the adoption of a collegiate norm of more than six years. The election shall be in writing sent to the DEO, with a copy to the dean and the Executive Vice President and Provost. Any extensions previously granted apply regardless of whether the probationary faculty makes an election under this paragraph.

Affirmative action and tenure. As affirmative action and tenure function at The University of Iowa, they are completely compatible concepts. Both seek to assure the acquisition and retention of those who are most qualified. In the appointment process, affirmative action operates to assure that the most qualified available person is identified and is offered the opportunity to join the faculty; and after initial appointment, it assures that in making tenure and salary decisions, irrelevant considerations such as race and sex play no role.

Prior to the initiation of the affirmative action program, the traditional process of identifying the most qualified person for an available position was somewhat limited in scope and, thus, the pool from which the most qualified person was drawn was relatively narrow. Under the present affirmative action program, all positions are advertised widely and direct inquiries are made of many more persons than in the past. With the expanded search, the pool from which to draw has been broadened substantially. The goal of the selection process has remained unchanged — to select the most qualified from among those available. With a broader pool from which to draw, the University can be more confident that the person truly most qualified has been identified. As affirmative action and tenure function at The University of Iowa, not only are the two compatible; they complement each other in assuring a quality faculty.

Only if the University's tenure system becomes frozen — either by the imposition of quotas on the percentage of persons who may acquire tenure or by restricting access to the tenure rank because substantially all faculty members have tenure — is tenure incompatible with affirmative action. If either quotas are established or tenuring-in occurs, access and upward mobility become impossible or extremely difficult; and in such a situation, affirmative action is stymied and vitality lost.

Coupling a dedication to affirmative action with awareness of the problem, initial appointment practices that limit somewhat the number of persons appointed to probationary (tenure ladder) positions, and firm quality control in the process of tenure decision making, the University sees no danger of unduly restricting access to tenured positions to the extent that would subvert its affirmative action program. Any quota system restricting access to tenure by establishing maximum percentages of tenured faculty members can only function to the detriment of the University's vitality and to its affirmative action program. Those who qualify and are needed must be rewarded or initiative will be destroyed. Access to tenured ranks must remain open to those who qualify or women and minority group members without tenure will be deterred from joining The University of Iowa

faculty. With an open system — and we are persuaded that it can remain open — vitality will remain and affirmative action accomplished.

Faculty status. Faculty status is accorded to those members of the University who are charged with the duty of advancing and disseminating knowledge. As a consequence, appointment to, and progression through the academic ranks requires demonstrated competence and potential for continued growth as a scholar and teacher.

- b. (will be moved to 10.5g after post-tenure review) Termination of tenured faculty.
 - (1) General rule. Because of the centrality of tenure to the University's mission, tThe appointment of a tenured member of the faculty may be terminated only for good cause and in accordance with the principles of academic freedom and due process under III.29 faculty dispute procedures. stated in the Statement on Tenure and Academic Vitality at The University of Iowa (paragraph a above), which commits the University to the principle that "free inquiry and expression are essential to the maintenance of excellence; tenure is essential to free inquiry and expression." The procedures governing any termination must conform in all respects to the principles of due process. Thus, unless alternative procedures are specified in this manual, any termination proceedings shall be conducted under III-29 Faculty Dispute Procedures.
 - (2) The appointment of a tenured faculty member may, <u>under appropriate</u> <u>circumstances</u>, be terminated for:
 - (a)-Violations of University policies. Examples of such policies include the Community Policies in Part II of the Policy Manual., except for the Ethics in Research policy in II-27.6. A violation of II-27.6 shall proceed to the Research Ethics Violation policy in III-29.9., including but without limitation:
 - (i)—Sexual Harassment and Sexual Misconduct (II-4);
 - (ii) Violence (II-10);
 - (iii)-Anti-Retaliation (II-11.4f);
 - (iv)-Drug Free Environment (II-12.3b(1));
 - (v)-Ethics in Research (II-27.6b(22)(a));
 - $(\textit{vi)}-Professional\ Ethics\ and\ Academic\ Responsibility\ (\underline{III-29.7i(2)(e)});\ or$
 - (vii)(i) Regents Rules (II-29.14b(6)).
 - (b) Demonstrated unacceptable performance of duty pursuant to III-29.8.
 - (c) Financial exigency that is demonstrable and bona fide, defined as a financial crisis which exists or is imminent and which, if not corrected, threatens the survival of the University as a whole, but only if the crisis cannot be corrected by less drastic means than termination of tenured faculty.

(d) Programmatic change or discontinuance for academic reasons (when approved by the President of the University and the Board of Regents) which cannot reasonably be accomplished without terminating the tenure of faculty in the particular program. No faculty member may be terminated because of programmatic change or discontinuance unless, following the good faith efforts of the University and the faculty member, the faculty member cannot be transferred to another college or department where the professional services of the faculty member can be used effectively.

(section 10.5b Qualifications for ranks below will move up here)

10.25c Criteria for Annual Review, Reappointment, and Promotions

(President 10/85; amended 2/01)

The criteria for annual review, reappointment and promotions include teaching, scholarship research, and other professional contributions service. Since teaching and scholarship are the central functions of the tenure track faculty, other professional contributions are considered subsidiary to these fundamental tasks. The length of service, whether long or short, does not constitute, of in itself, a qualification for promotion nor the sole justification for the denial of same. It is also the policy of the University that promotions shall not be dependent upon offers of positions from other institutions. A candidate for review and promotion shall be evaluated in accordance with the provisions of ill-10.5b. (See Ill-29.5d(4)(b)) second paragraph.)

- a. Teaching. The prime requisites for an effective teacher are intellectual competence, integrity, and independence; a willingness to consider suggestions and to cooperate in teaching activities; a spirit of scholarly inquiry which leads to the development and strengtheningregular updating of course content in the light of developments in the area of interest, as well as to and improved methods of presenting material delivery; a vital interest in teaching and advising working with students and, above all, the ability to stimulate their students' intellectual interest, and enthusiasm. The quality of teaching is admittedly difficult to evaluate. This evaluation is so important, however, that recommendations for promotion This review should include evidence drawn from such sources as the official student course evaluations, collective judgment of students, of student counselors, and the perceptions of colleagues who have visited observed the individual classes or who have been closely associated with the person's teaching as supervisor or in some other capacity, or who have taught the same students in subsequent courses. Academic counseling or advising of students should be recognized as an important component of the teaching process, and due credit should be given to faculty members who exert an unusual effort in this function.
- b. ResearchScholarship. The successful cłandidate will demonstrate that they have pursued a definite, continuing program of studies, investigations, or creative undertakings. In most of the fields of study, represented in the programs of the University, publications in high quality-peer-reviewed media of quality and/or presentations and performances in high quality venues are expected as evidence of scholarly interests interest pursued independently of supervision or direction. An original contribution of a creative nature is as

Commented [EG4]: In revised section order Qualifications for specific ranks (new 10.5b) will go before this section (new 10.5c) significant or as deserving as the publication of a scholarly book or article. Quality of production is considered more important than mere quantity. Significant evidence of scholarly merit may be found either in a single work of considerable importance or a series of worksstudies constituting a general program of worthwhile researchscholarship. The candidate should pursue a definite, continuing program of studies, investigations, or creative works

c. Other professional contributions Service. From time to time, a fEaculty members is called upon to render contribute major professional services to the University or to society in general. Such contributions should be evaluated in terms of the commitment and effectiveness with which the service is performed, its relation to the general welfare of the University, and its impact effect on the professional development of the individual.

10.3 Assignment of Academic Rank

Academic ranks of assistant professor, associate professor, and professor should be assigned only to those who are directly engaged in the teaching of courses approved for listing in the University's General Catalog or engaged in research which involves the teaching of graduate students.

10.5b4 Qualifications for Appointments at Specific Ranks

(Amended 6/99; 10/00; 7/01; 1/1/24)

Each academic unit is expected to develop detailed tenure and promotionappointment criteria consistent with the following qualifications. If the pattern and practice in some units deviates markedly from these norms, such units may must seek approval of the Executive Vice President and Provost provost for alternate criteria.

- a. Assistant Professor.
 - (1) Promise of ability as a teacher.
 - $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \beg$
 - (3) Promise of scholarly productivity, supported by publications or the equivalent.
 - (4) Term Initial term of appointment is typically three years, although it may be for a shorter period of time if recommended by the departmental executive officer and the dean of the college.
 - —Appointments at the rank of assistant professor shall ordinarily shall not exceed a total of seven years of service and thus shall be reviewed for tenure no later than during the sixth year of service: exceed the collegiate norm, unless there have been tenure extensions provided (see section above).
 - (5) A faculty member for whom a denial-of-tenure recommendation has been made by the Executive Vice President and Provostprovost shall be given notification of a terminal year of appointment.
- b. Associate Professor.

Commented [EG5]: Removed as redundant with details in other sections.

Commented [EG6]: In revised section order, this will move up before previous promotion section.

- Convincing evidence that the candidate is an effective teacher of, as appropriate, undergraduate, graduate, postdoctoral, and/or professional students and postdoctoral scholars.
- (2) Demonstration of artistic or scholarly achievement supported by substantial publications or equivalent artistic creations or performances, of high quality, as appropriate to the discipline(s).
- (3) Departmental, collegiate, and/or University service and, if appropriate, professional service will be expected at an appropriate level.
- (4) The quality and quantity of teaching, scholarly/artistic accomplishment, and service should give unmistakable promise reasonable likelihood of promotion to full professor.
- (5) At this level, an initial A tenure appointment without tenure shall typically not exceed three years., except that for persons appointed from off the campus the initial appointment may be for a term of three years or less.
- (5)(6) Appointments with tenure require provost approval.

c. Professor.

- (1) Consistent record of high-quality teaching at all appropriate instructional levels, including successful guidance of doctoral graduate students to the completion of their degree programs, where applicable.
- (2) Continued artistic or scholarly achievement of high quality, accompanied by unmistakable evidence that the candidate is a nationally and, where applicable, internationally recognized scholar or creative artist in the chosen field.
- (3) The candidate should have a record of significant and effective service to the department, college, and/or the University and, if appropriate, to the profession.
- (4) At this level, an initial appointment without tenure shall typically not exceed three years. A tenure appointment, except that for persons appointed from off the campus the initial appointment may be for a term of three years or less.
- (4)(5) Appointments with tenure require provost approval.

10.5d Review and Promotion Procedures

(President 10/85; amended 2/01; 7/01; 5/07)

a. The question of promotion of faculty may be brought up at any time deemed appropriate, but_if not considered earlier, it should be brought up for formal consideration between the dean and the departmental executive officerDEO no later than as follows: assistant professors during the final year of the probationary period as defined by the college, and associate professors no later than the seventh year after promotion to that rank. Promotion may take place earlier if the qualifications and promise of the individual concerned warrant such action. Individual faculty members may request review for promotion, tenure, or both, at any time, and shall be afforded such review by the applicable department or non_departmentalized college. The offer letter to a faculty member whose initial appointment will begin at a time other than the start of the fiscal or academic year or who has previous years of service at another institution should specify when the tenure and promotion review

will take place.

b. Typically, faculty are evaluated for reappointment, promotion or tenure by the standards in place at the time of the deliberation. If faculty standards changed during the time of the probationary period, or during the time currently in rank for associate professors, the faculty member may choose to be evaluated under the standards previously in place during their time in rank. This determination must be made prior to submission of the tenure dossier. A candidate for tenure and/or promotion or reappointment shall be evaluated under the relevant, clearly defined standards of the faculty member's academic unit(s). Candidates may choose one of the following: that were 1) standards that were in effect at the time of the faculty member's initial appointment or promotion to the rank currently held or; 2) any such standards that have come into effect since during that time; , including those or 3) any such standards in effect at the time of the evaluation, whichever of these the candidate elects. However, for assistant professors, no standards may be applied if they were superseded more years ago than the time specified as normal time at rank (adjusted to account for any extension, family leave, or illness granted to the faculty member). For assistant professors, the normal time in rank is six years, unless the college has established a longer probationary period norm (III-10.1a(4)(c)), and for associate professors seven years (see paragraph a above). The candidate shall make an election under this section no later than the end of the academic year prior to the academic year in which the candidate is considered for tenure and/or promotion or reappointment. Absent such election, the standards for evaluation shall be those standards in effect at the end of the academic year before the academic year in which the candidate is considered for tenure and/or promotion or reappointment.

10.65e Post-Tenure Effort Allocation

(Board of Regents 5/22/97)

- a. In planning, setting priorities, and making decisions, The University of Iowa is guided by its commitment to the core values of learning, integrity, quality, community, and responsibility. With respect to faculty, the core values of learning, quality, and responsibility are extremely important. These three values state:
 - (1) Learning. The University of Iowa is dedicated to discovering, disseminating, and preserving knowledge and to the development of an educated citizenry. Through teaching, research, scholarship, creative endeavor, clinical practice and public outreach, the University develops ideas, enlarges understanding, and extends its resources to society. Recognizing the need for constant inquiry and continuous reinterpretation of knowledge, the University vigilantly protects free expression of thought, respects difference and diversity, and fosters opportunities for all members of the community to generate and discuss ideas and contribute to the vitality of the educational environment.
 - (2)—Quality. As a center of learning, the University of Iowa measures itself by exacting standards, honors high aspiration and achievement, and expects all persons associated with the University to strive for excellence.
 - (3) Responsibility. The University of Iowa is obligated to exercise responsible stewardship over the intellectual and material resources entrusted to it. As a public

Commented [EG7]: Some of this introductory information is contained in the revised Introduction to III-10.

institution, the University aims for accessibility, affordability, and quality, so that a broad array of qualified students from lowa and elsewhere may obtain an excellent education at reasonable cost. The University recognizes the responsibility of its faculty to determine what students should learn and to shape the body of knowledge that will be passed on to future generations. It is also the University's obligation to engage all members of its community in collective reflection on their responsibilities not only to their disciplines and professions but also to the institution and to society.

In carrying out its obligation to transmit learning in an exacting and responsible manner, faculty at a major research institution are expected to engage in teaching, research, and service. Although these categories parallel our University's threefold mission, in our experience, they do not adequately suggest the complexity of faculty work, its different configurations across the University, and its development throughout any single faculty member's career. Faculty members engage in teaching, research, scholarship, artistic endeavor, clinical practice, and public outreach; they administer departments and colleges; they run teaching and research laboratories; design curricula; write grants; and advise students. Although each faculty member's efforts may not be distributed evenly among the various components of academic work, each has the responsibility to serve the University and its constituents in full measure and according to exacting standards.

The expectations of work for the attainment of tenure are spelled out in various documents relating to pre-tenured faculty. Pre-tenure effort allocations must generate profiles that demonstrate teaching effectiveness, research, and, to a lesser extent, service.

a. Faculty profiles can be expected to look most alike at the time of the tenure decision. It seems reasonable, and even desirable, however, to expect that aAfter tenure, faculty careers will begin to diversify diverge as individuals develop different strengths and assume different responsibilities. This policy establishes the concept of aA "faculty portfolio" that allows for variations in the combination of teaching, researchscholarship, clinical work, and service for a limited period of time through which faculty can make their maximum contribution to the University's mission. Because the efforts of all faculty will be marshaled in ways to assure that the talents of all at a given time are used most effectively, use of individualized portfolios and Faculty portfolios consistent with unit norms should only have a positive impact on the quality of faculty teaching and research scholarship inof the unit.

The individualized faculty portfolio concept reflects the notion that tenured faculty members may negotiate with their DEOs individualized patterns of work that differ from the expected distribution of efforts for a typical faculty portfolio (herein "unit norms") of their college, department, or unit (hereinafter called unit). These portfolios, however, should be developed with the view that all faculty within a unit will commit their collective strengths to fulfilling should support the overall mission of their units and the University consistent with appropriate strategic plans. The opportunity for differential allocation of post-tenure effort thus facilitates the attainment of the University's core values of quality, learning, and responsibility.

The activities of faculty at institutions with a significant research mission differ markedly from the activities of faculty at institutions with no significant research mission.

Immediately below are highlighted some of the prominent features of the activities of the faculty at The University of Iowa under the categories of: 1) Teaching, 2) Research, Scholarship, and Creative Work, and 3) Service, Administration, and Outreach.

(4)—Teaching. Faculty members teach a wide variety and types of courses at the University. Teaching of courses includes the preparation of course syllabi, classroom materials, class lectures and discussion topics, and student assignments such as papers and projects. Faculty also prepare and administer examinations, evaluate student work, train and supervise the work of teaching assistants, continuously read in their field to include up-to-date material and information in their classes, and meet their students outside of class to advise, help, and guide them in their course work. Teaching also includes the mentoring of undergraduate and graduate students in research settings.

Other important teaching efforts include faculty initiatives to improve instruction through the design and revision of the curriculum, the creation of new courses, the revision of existing courses, and supervision of the creative work and research of students.

In carrying out its obligation to transmit learning in an exacting and responsible manner, faculty at a major research institution are expected to engage in teaching, research, and service. Although these categories parallel our University's threefold mission, in our experience, they do not adequately suggest the complexity of faculty work, its different configurations across the University, and its development throughout any single faculty member's career. Faculty members engage in teaching, research, scholarship, artistic endeavor, clinical practice, and public outreach; they administer departments and colleges; they run teaching and research laboratories; design curricula; write grants; and advise students. Although each faculty member's efforts may not be distributed evenly among the various components of academic work, each has the responsibility to serve the University and its constituents in full measure and according to exacting standards. The unique requirements and features of faculty work in the different types of classes taught can vary. For example, in a large lecture course, considerable effort is spent in preparing lectures, maintaining records of student work, and coordinating teaching with other faculty in other sections of the course. By contrast, in a clinical or professional course, considerable effort is devoted to working with small groups of students in clinical or professional settings, arranging for the settings and the student activities in these settings, and closely guiding the interactive work of the students in those settings.

(5) Research, scholarship, and creative work. Faculty conduct a wide range of research, scholarship, and creative work to advance the core value of learning, including engaging in hands-on creative work and research, directing and collaborating with graduate students and professional students in joint creative activities and research, directing and supervising undergraduate creative work and research, and supporting this scholarly work through efforts to arrange and sustain

Commented [EG8]: Key content in this section was put into the introductory sections of this revised document.

adequate physical, financial, and institutional (and interinstitutional) support.

As with teaching, there are many different types of activities necessary to create and sustain vitality in research, scholarship, and creative work by faculty. At the center of this activity, faculty require the time and resources to pursue scholarly or creative work in the laboratory, the library, the studio or office, and/or in the field, to supervise and direct the work of their students, to write proposals to obtain support for their projects, to attend professional meetings and to consult with a variety of groups and individuals to enhance their understanding of problems and challenges.

(6)(1) Service, administration, and outreach. Faculty serve their professional colleagues and organizations, the public, and various off-campus constituencies. They also administer and govern the academic programs and services of the University and assist colleagues and University administrators with a variety of functions and tasks.

With regard to professional service, faculty are often asked to hold offices in professional organizations and help to organize professional meetings. They edit books and professional journals and serve as reviewers for such publications. They serve on federal and regional panels and offer advice to private, corporate, and government funding agencies. With regard to public service, faculty may provide health care, legal service, artistic leadership, and educational expertise. Faculty offer educational outreach programs to the business community, community organizations, and governmental bodies. Faculty expend considerable effort to help administer and govern the University. They chair departments, serve on a widerange of appointed and elected committees, and recommend the allocation of fiscal and human resources necessary to the educational mission of the institution.

The University's commitment to learning and to the above listing of some of the efforts of faculty toward the achievement of that commitment implicitly recognize that teaching, research, and service are inextricably interwoven and that the proportions of time and effort that all faculty within a unit devote to them at any particular time need not be equal.

- b. In determining differential allocation of post-tenure effort, the following rules and principles apply:
 - (1) Each unit is obligated to contribute fully to the accomplishment of the overall mission and values of the University, consistent with the strategic plan of the University and the unit. Just as the proportion of effort among units may vary in carrying out the University's mission, so too may the proportion of effort by individual faculty vary. However, the aggregate efforts of all faculty within a unit must be consistent with the overall strategic plan of the unit.
 - (2)—Over a faculty member's aggregate post-tenure work life, the The tenured faculty member is expected to contribute to the University's core value of learning by efforts expended primarily in teaching and, scholarship research and; to a lesser extent, service. In some units, the common use of the terms "service" or "clinical

servicework" includes efforts that may properly be viewed as teaching or research.

- (3)(2) As individual faculty members follow typical post-tenure career patterns, shifts may occur in the proportion of a faculty member's efforts devoted to these three components, capitalizing on the individual faculty strengths at any particular time to fulfill the teaching, research, and service needs of the unit or the University.
- (4)(3) Following the adoption of this policy, the faculty of each unit will develop expected norms for a typical faculty portfolio reflecting its judgment as to the appropriate allocation of effort for its faculty among teaching, research, and service. Unit norms must be approved by the dean in which the unit is located and by the Executive Vice President and Provost. Changes in unit norms must be initiated approved by the faculty of the unit and approved by, the dean in which the unit is located, and by the Executive Vice President and Provost provost.
- (5)(4) Faculty members within a unit may negotiate with the DEO individualized effort portfolios that differ from the unit norms. Ordinarily, portfolios are effective for a maximum of two years. Renewals are possible. Either the individual faculty member or the DEO/dean may initiate discussions for an individualized portfolio. Agreements concerning individualized faculty portfolios that differ from unit norms shall be formalized in a document to be included in the faculty member's personnel file. Such agreements should reflect a clear understanding of the efforts to be made by the faculty member. The DEO, dean, and provost shall review and approve individualized portfolios annually.
- (6)(5) The use of individualized portfolios within a unit must advance the teaching, research, or service missions of the unit or the University, must not significantly compromise the unit's teaching, research, and service mission, and should be consistent with the career goals of the faculty. Because of this, nNo faculty member is entitled to, or can be compelled to have, an individualized portfolio. A faculty member can only be assigned an individualized portfolio as a required component oras an outcome of a failed development plan resulting from the post tenure review process (see 10.5f below). In these cases, the faculty member has agreed to the details and oversight of the developmental plan. Furthermore, when considering the advisability of an individualized portfolio, both the DEO and the faculty member should consider how such a portfolio would fit with the goals of the unit, the University, and the faculty member.
- (7)(6) The DEO shall discuss all proposed individualized portfolios with the dean or Executive Vice President and Provostand provost, who will approve them before they are implemented during the subsequent academic year. The DEO also shall distribute to the faculty of the unit a list of faculty members who have negotiated individualized portfolios, together with a statement of the area of emphasis for those particular faculty members and a statement of how the unit strategic plan will continue to be realized by the unit faculty taken as a group. All of this shall The approval process must occur within sufficient time to permit appropriate planning of the unit's teaching, scholarshipresearch, and service mission.

- 6)(7) The use of individualized portfolios within a unit will not compromise alter the standards required for a tenured faculty member to obtain promotion. Therefore, individualized faculty portfolios that would interfere with a tenured faculty member's opportunity to be promoted in a timely manner are inadvisable.
- (9)—Regardless of how a faculty member's responsibilities are distributed among teaching, researchscholarship, and service, the faculty member is expected to perform those responsibilities to a high standard of excellence. For purposes of annual merit pay adjustments and peer review evaluations, all faculty will be evaluated relative to how well they perform their efforts pursuant to their individualized portfolio, or, if none, consistent with unit norms, and without regard to whether those efforts involve teaching, research scholarship, or service.
- (10) Example #1: In prior years, Faculty A, B, and C each devoted their efforts to teaching, research, and service consistent with unit norms, but with varying degrees of achievement and success. Each of them and their DEO have recognized that their individual strengths are not being utilized to the best in light of their current interests and talents, and that, as a result, the unit has not been able to maximize its commitment to learning as reflected in its strategic plan. Through the use of individualized portfolios, their collective efforts can be reallocated so that each of them expends efforts in a manner that takes into account their different strengths; this effort reallocation will increase the overall productivity of the unit.

Example #2: Faculty members A, B, C, and D are in the same unit. Faculty A and the DEO agree that, for a particular period, Faculty A will have greater classroom teaching obligations than would otherwise be the norm within the unit. Faculty B and the DEO agree that, during some particular period, Faculty B will have greater governance responsibilities that would otherwise be the norm. Faculty C and the DEO agree that, for a particular period, Faculty C will have greater research obligations than would otherwise be the norm. Faculty D's responsibilities remain consistent with unit norms.

The efforts of each of them will be rewarded on the basis of how well each performs such activities, since all of them contribute in their respective ways to the overall mission of the unit. If each individual performs their agreed-upon activities to the highest level, then the merit pay of each should be determined in the same manner, all other things being equal (e.g., no salary compression issues; no competing offers).

On the other hand, if one of them excels in the performance of their agreed-upon activities while the other three faculty members' performances of their efforts are deemed very good, the faculty member who excelled should receive a higher merit pay adjustment.

(11)(8) Example #3: Unit X has adopted unit norms that state that in the absence of individualized portfolios, faculty members in the unit shall allocate 50% of their time to teaching, 40% of their time to research, and 10% of their time to service. All but two faculty in the unit have allocated their work efforts according to those norms this year. The work effort of Faculty A and Faculty B, who joined the unit within one year of each other, typically comport with unit norms. This year, however, each of them has an individualized portfolio with Faculty A's time being

allocated: 70% to teaching, 20% to research, and 10% to service and Faculty B's time being allocated: 70% to research, 20% to teaching, and 10% to service.

Faculty A has a history of being a good teacher and scholar. Faculty B is recognized to be an exceptional scholar and has consistently been assessed as one of the premier teachers in the unit. In the current year, both A and B continue to perform their work as they have in the past. Under this policy Faculty B should receive a higher merit pay adjustment than Faculty A.

- (12)(9) The Any decision of a DEO and/or any other group authorized to evaluate and reward faculty in a manner that fails to take into account individualized faculty portfolios may be appealed to the dean of the college in which the unit is located or, in non_departmentalized colleges, to the Office of the Executive Vice President and Provostprovost.
 - (a) Example #4: Faculty A and the DEO agree that Faculty A will devote the next academic year exclusively to teaching. At the end of the year, the DEO advises A that even though A has performed to a high standard of excellence, the DEO has decided to provide more merit funds to those faculty in the unit who have complied with the unit norms. This decision would be inconsistent with the intent of this policy, which is that A's merits be determined by reference solely to the agreed-upon portfolio. Any adverse salary determination would be appealable to the dean or the Office of the Executive Vice President and Provost, as appropriate.

10.7-5f Review of Tenured Faculty Members

(Faculty Senate 3/29/11; amended Faculty Council 8/11)

- a. Introduction.
 - (1) Post-tenure review is a process that has been developed to assess a tenured faculty member's performance. A tenured faculty member has the responsibility of strengthening their university citizenship through their work in teaching, scholarship, and service. The process includes annual review or evaluation conducted by the faculty member's unit head; and a five-year review conducted by the faculty member's needs. Scope. This section establishes procedures to be followed by the University in conducting reviews of a tenured faculty member's academic performance in areas of teaching, scholarship, and service. There are two kinds of review of tenured faculty: annual reviews conducted by the unit head, and periodic reviews conducted by faculty peers.
 - (a) An annual review should, in the main, be evaluative, but may also be formative and developmental.
 - (b) A peer review should, in the main, be formative and developmental, and should facilitate and encourage professional vitality.

- (2)—Academic freedom. All proceedings under this section shall respect the principles of academic freedom and tenure stated in the Statement on Tenure and Academic Vitality at The University of Iowa (III-10.1a(2) above), which commits the University to the principle that "free inquiry and expression are essential to the maintenance of excellence, tenure is essential to free inquiry and expression.". The expectation is that all post-tenure reviews will respect the significance and importance of tenure.
- (3)(2) Rationale. A tenured faculty member has the responsibility of strengthening their university citizenship through their work in education, research, and service. The faculty member must also ensure that they continue to strive to meet this responsibility. Post-tenure review is a process that has been developed to assess a tenured faculty member's progress. The process includes annual review or evaluation conducted by the faculty member's unit head, and a five-year review conducted by the faculty member's peers.

Annual review of tenured faculty. An The DEO conducts an annual performance review of all tenured faculty members, through using a process and standards developed by the unit head (DEO, or equivalent) in consultation with the faculty of the department, or in nondepartmental units with the faculty of the college, and approved by the dean and Provostprovost, is conducted by the unit head as part of the salary-setting process. Review of tenured faculty shall include an evaluation of research/scholarship, teaching, and service and bmust if so, bte based on approved departmental standards. As part of this review, each faculty member must make available to the unit head DEO materials specified in the statement of the department's review process (e.g., vitae, teaching evaluations, etc.).

The annual review will consider, as appropriate, issues of long-term research, instructional development, or service that cannot be adequately represented on a strictly annual basis. Faculty members being reviewed by their department for the special purpose of promotion may be exempted from this annual faculty review requirement.

When, as a result of an annual review, the <u>unit headDEO</u> concludes that there are significant deficiencies related to teaching, <u>research scholarship</u>, or service, the <u>unit headDEO</u> shall provide written notifications of these conclusions to the faculty member being reviewed, and the faculty member will be given an opportunity to respond in writing. The final report and the faculty member's response will be sent to the dean and will be <u>come kept with part of</u> the faculty member's personnel <u>recordsrecord</u>.

The annual review will consider, as appropriate, issues of long-term research, instructional development, or service that cannot be adequately represented on a strictly annual basis. Faculty members being reviewed by their department for the special purpose of promotion may be exempted from this annual faculty review requirement.

- b. Five-year peer review of tenured faculty.
 - (1) Overview. In a shared-governance academic environment, tIhe faculty plays an indispensable role in appointment, reappointment, promotion, tenure, and

dismissal of faculty members. One of the ways that faculty exercise this responsibility is through the formal process of peer review. Post-tenure peer review is intended to acknowledge achievements and to provide an appropriate mechanism to encourage constructive responses to normal changes that are likely to occur over the course of a successfuln academic career. The developmental nature of post-tenure review mandates that a faculty member being reviewed should be accorded adequate time to respond to the review and to improve performance where necessary, prior to initiation of any proceedings which may be viewed as adversarial or punitive.

- (2) Procedure. All tenured faculty members will undergo a peer review once every five years subsequent to their most recent tenure or promotion review. Faculty members are exempted from their scheduled five-year peer review if:
 - (a) they are being reviewed for promotion to a higher rank during the year of the scheduled review,
 - (b) they are within one year of announced retirement, or are on phased retirement, or are on unpaid leave, or
 - (c) they serve as DEO, assistant dean, associate dean, or dean.

The five-year peer review will include a comprehensive review by a committee composed of tenured faculty peers in the same college as the faculty member undergoing review and at the same or higher academic rank. The committee is appointed by the DEO or dean in consultation with the faculty member who is to be reviewed. DEOs and other academic administrators may not serve on peer review committees. The outcome of this peer review is confidential and confined to the faculty member being reviewed, the review committee, the DEO, the dean, others directed by the faculty member, and in special circumstances the pProvost.

- (3) Plan. Consistent with the foregoing, eEach college must develop and implement a plan for the five-year peer review of each-tenured faculty members. The plan is to include specific guidelines regarding that includes:
 - (a) selection of the five-year peer review committee;
 - (b) committee procedures and timelines;
 - (c) materials to be reviewed;
 - (d) distribution and use of the committee's written report; and
 - (e) mechanisms for the faculty member to respond.

Faculty members of the college will approve the plan by vote. The dean and <u>Provost provost</u> will approve each plan and ensure consistency with review processes across the departments and colleges.

A faculty member who believes that they have been treated unfairly at any point during the five-year peer review process may seek redress of their grievance within the scope and framework of III-29.6 Faculty Dispute Procedures.

c. Special cases procedures. If, after receiving the results of the five-year peer review, the dean, on advice of the peer review committee and in consultation with the DEO, if one exists, concludes, on the basis of the peer review's findings, that the faculty member's performance has fallen for a significant period of time below the expected standard of performance for the faculty member's unit, then the dean may initiate discussions with the faculty member concerning the development of a plan to address problems uncovered in the review. Such discussion may focus on the faculty member's individualized portfolio. The plan will be put in writing, will contain a justification for its implementation, inclu will provide a specific timetable for evaluation of acceptable progress (normally to occur at the faculty member's next five-year review), and will provide a description of possible consequences for not meeting expectations by the time of that evaluation. The DEO and/or dean may shall monitor progress through the annual review and give feedback to the faculty member.

If the plan prepared by the peer review committee DEO and the dean is not agreed to by the faculty member, then the faculty member will provide a written justification for not agreeing to the plan. The plan and the faculty member's response will be submitted to the Prevost provost, who will make the final determination as to whether the plan should be implemented. A faculty member who believes that they have been treated unfairly at any point during the five-year peer review process may seek redress of their grievance within the scope and framework of III-29.6 Faculty Dispute Procedures.

If the faculty member believes that there are grounds for grievance, then the faculty member may seek redress of their grievance within the scope and framework of III-29.6 Faculty Dispute Procedures.

In deciding whether or not to implement such a plan, it is important that the dean and DEO respect the importance of tenure and the academic freedom it is designed to protect. With respect to research scholarship, there is a critical distinction between a faculty member who has ambitious research scholarly programs that they are actively pursuing and the very few faculty members who have no such plans and who have had no work in progress for a substantial period of time. It is expected that if plans envisioned focus on research scholarly productivity, they would typically be appropriate only for the latter group.

If the plan is implemented, then the dean (or dean's designee) and the DEO will oversee the faculty member's progress under the plan. If after the agreed-to time period, the dean and the DEO, in consultation with the peer review committee, find no acceptable progress, then the DEO, the dean, and the Provost provost, and the peer review committee will meet to decide which of the consequences described in the plan will go into effect. The consequences will be implemented by the dean, in consultation with the DEO, and monitored by the Provost provost.

Use of the special review procedures described above does not preclude deans from utilizing existing available, alternative procedures for addressing problems of unacceptable performance of duty (III-29.7, III-29.8). On those rare occasions where a faculty member has proved unwilling or unable to benefit from developmental assistance to improve their performance, the administration may feel compelled to proceed against the faculty member in a disciplinary or unfitness proceeding, where the burden of proof is on the

administration to show that the proposed sanction is justified. However, deans are strongly encouraged to proceed with formative and developmental plans before resorting to such measures.

d. Out of cycle peer review

A faculty member who has undergone at least one five-year post-tenure peer review may receive two sequential DEO annual reviews that identify ongoing and significant performance concerns that have not been remediated. If a DEO facing this situation seeks to implement a development plan, they must start by convening an out of cycle peer review committee. Its members will be selected following the processes outlined above to create regular five-year peer review committees. If the faculty member objects to the composition of the committee, they can submit an appeal to the dean stating the reasons for the objections in writing prior to the beginning of the review. If the issue is not resolved, the faculty member can submit their appeal to the provost, who will make the final determination on committee composition and provide the faculty member with a written explanation for their decision.

After the committee is formed, the DEO will provide the faculty member and committee members with copies of all annual reviews (including any faculty responses) that were conducted after the most recent peer review. The faculty member must in turn submit all materials normally included in a regular five-year peer review and may also submit any additional materials relevant to their recent teaching, scholarship, and/or service that the faculty member believes will aid the committee in its deliberations. The peer review process shall otherwise be consistent with the regularly scheduled five-year peer review process, as determined by the college.

The peer review committee will provide both evaluative and developmental analysis and recommendations designed to facilitate and encourage professional vitality. Upon receipt of the peer review committee's assessment and report, the DEO and dean will determine if a formal development plan to improve the faculty member's performance in areas of teaching, scholarship, and/or service, as applicable, is appropriate. If so, the DEO will use the recommendations of the peer review assessment and work with the faculty member to formulate a development plan to address issues identified in the prior reviews. The DEO will be further tasked with monitoring the faculty member's progress in meeting the plan's goals.

An initial faculty development plan will not entail effort allocation changes unless they are specifically requested by the faculty member and supported by the DEO and dean. Changes in effort allocation may be a potential defined outcome if the faculty member fails to make significant progress in meeting the plan's milestones. Any parts of the developmental plan, including plan timelines and outcomes, that are not agreed to by the faculty member may be appealed in writing to the dean, and if still not agreed to, the provost, who will make the final determination as to whether the plan should be implemented or modified. The dean and provost determinations will include a clear written explanation of the rationale for the decision and advise the faculty member of their due process rights to grieve an adverse decision under the III-29 Faculty Dispute Procedures.

10.8 Part-Time Faculty Members

(Regents 6/27-28/74)

- a.—Definitions. As used in these regulations:
 - (1)—"Part-time" means fifty percent or more but less than one hundred percent of full-
 - (2)—"Faculty member" means a person holding the rank of assistant professor, associate professor, or professor.
- b.—Mutual obligations. A part-time faculty member on the tenure track is expected to meet the various obligations of a full-time faculty member in proportion to the percentage of the appointment. Administrative units of the University are expected to assign duties and provide support to a part-time faculty member on the same basis as to a full-time faculty member, except prorated in accordance with the percentage of appointment.
- c. Requirements and qualifications. Except for the time periods specified in III-10.5, all requirements and qualifications of III-10.1-10.5 apply to part-time faculty members.
- d: Time periods. The six- and seven-year periods specified in III-10.5 are applicable to full-time faculty members. For the purposes of III-10.5, the service of part-time faculty members will be calculated by adding together part-time service (fifty percent or more) until the time periods set forth in III-10.5 and elsewhere have been completed. Thus, twelve years of fifty percent service will be deemed the equivalent of six years of full-time service; ten years of sixty percent service will be deemed the equivalent of six years of full-time service; and six years of seventy-five percent service will be the equivalent of six years of fifty percent service; and six years of seventy-five percent service coupled with three years of fifty percent service will be deemed the equivalent of six years of full-time service.
- e.—Tenure status. Tenure status shall be awarded to part-time faculty members who are found to meet University standards for granting tenure, with the performance expectations to be identical with those required of full-time faculty members. Faculty service which is less than fifty percent of full-time service will not be credited towards completion of a probationary period leading to a tenure decision.
- f:—Percentage of full-time service. The percentage of full-time service obligation and right of a part-time faculty member who has earned tenure normally will be at the median percentage of full-time service rendered by the faculty member during the probationary period. Part-time faculty members who currently have tenure shall have a percentage of full-time service obligation and right at the percentage at which the faculty member was serving when tenure was awarded.
- g:—Adjusting part-time service. The decision to increase permanently to full time the percentage of a part-time faculty member's service is to be made in the same fashion as a new hiring decision and in all cases the person most qualified to fill the available position will be employed. A present part-time faculty member shall be given preference in filling a vacancy in their academic unit, however, if equally qualified for the position in comparison with all other applicants. In considering whether to increase a part-time faculty member to full-time status or to employ a new full-time faculty member, the decision will be made wholly on the merits of the candidates under consideration and shall not be influenced by the fact that it may be more inconvenient to move the present part-time faculty member to

full time and to hire a new part-time faculty member than to employ a new full-time faculty member, nor to the extent fiscal resources permit, by the fact that the salary of the part-time faculty member when moved to full time may be higher than that of a full-time faculty member hired from off campus. A part-time faculty member and the collegiate dean may agree in writing at any time 1) to decrease permanently the percentage of full-time service to be required of the faculty member, as long as the percentage does not fall below fifty percent; and 2) to decrease or increase temporarily — for not more than two years — the percentage of service to be rendered.

- h: Reducing full-time appointment. Any agreement that a full-time tenured appointee will thereafter serve on a part-time basis shall specify either 1) that the tenured appointment thereafter will relate solely to service on an agreed part-time basis; or 2) that the appointee will return to full-time service on a specified date. Such an agreement shall be in writing and is subject to modification only by a written agreement between the faculty member and the collegiate dean. In no event shall the percentage of tenure status be reduced to less than fifty percent.
- i.a. Rights and Responsibilities. Part-time faculty members shall be entitled to all University, collegiate, and departmental rights, and have all responsibilities of full-time faculty

10.96 Specialized-Track Faculty

(Regents enacted 2/15/95; amended 7/00; 1/02; 4/05; 9/13; 1/1/24; 7/1/24)

Specialized Track (ST) faculty enable the University to fulfill its academic mission by excelling primarily in one of the three domains of teaching, scholarship, or clinical service. Instructional Track (IT) faculty are employed for their expertise in teaching in their disciplinary area and interest in advancing the academic curriculum. Research Track (RT) faculty are employed for their expertise in developing and growing independent research programs that are primarily supported with external grant funding. Clinical Track (CT) faculty are employed for their expertise in clinical service within their professional disciplines in varied settings. Like Tenure Track faculty, Specialized Track faculty possess diversified skillsets and may support other institutional priorities beyond their primary focus. Specialized Track faculty make it possible for the University to meet the wide-ranging needs of its many constituents, including the broader public as well as students. The University is committed to ensuring that Specialized Track faculty have pathways to build long-term and engaged academic careers at lowa. Specialized-track faculty are faculty with appointments in the clinical, research, or instructional tracks as described below:

10.96(1) Clinical-Track Faculty

Preamble. Consistent with the university's need to retain the flexibility to adjust its programs to meet the changing needs of students and society, non-tenure-track clinical faculty may be appointed and promoted as provided below. This policy sets parameters within which individual colleges can, but are not required to, develop policies and procedures that permit the hiring of clinical faculty. Operationally it is similar to the tenure policy, in that collegiate policy would amplify university policy and would be approved by the Provostprovost.

- a. Definitions. Clinical faculty hold service positions through which they contribute to the service, teaching, and/or outreach missions of the university, and hold faculty rank at assistant professor, associate professor, or professor. Clinical faculty are not eligible for tenure. They participate in the faculty governance process as described below and as defined by individual colleges and the <u>Faculty Senate</u>.
- b. Role of clinical faculty. All clinical faculty must devote a significant portion of their time to providing or overseeing the delivery of professional services to individual patients or clients. In addition, teaching students, residents, or fellows of the university at the undergraduate, graduate, professional, or postgraduate level is an essential job function for all faculty (whether tenured, tenure track, or clinical). Thus, clinical faculty are expected to integrate the delivery of their professional services with their teaching. While the use of clinical faculty is most easily conceived in the context of health sciences and law where faculty are involved in the delivery of professional services to patients and clients, there are other disciplines in other colleges where the use of clinical faculty for similar purposes may be entirely appropriate. The services provided by clinical faculty outside of the health science colleges should be the professional equivalent of services provided to patients. The use of clinical faculty largely to perform administrative functions with little or no teaching obligations is inconsistent with this policy. Similarly, it is inappropriate to use clinical-track faculty largely to engage in research, scholarship, or artistic creationscholarship.
- c. Types of appointments. As used herein, "clinical faculty" can hold one of two types of appointment within the university:
 - (1) Salaried appointments. Clinical faculty may hold salaried positions as employees of the University of Iowa. These faculty participate in faculty governance as defined by the college and Faculty Senate, receive usual typical faculty benefits, and undergo periodic reviews of their performance. Each college adopting a clinical-track policy shall fix the percentage of its total salaried faculty that may hold clinical-track appointments without limitation. However, any proposal made at any time to increase the percentage of clinical-track appointments (computed in FTEs) within a college (including an initial proposal to create a clinical track) must obtain both the approval of a majority of the tenured/tenure-track faculty within the college and the approval of a majority of the clinical-track faculty within the college by a referendum supervised by the Associate Provost for Faculty. Any such proposal must also be approved by the Provost.
 - (2) Non-salaried appointments. Other clinical faculty may hold non-salaried positions with the university, but they are not considered employees of the university. These clinical faculty contribute in a material way to the university's missions, although their obligations are more limited in scope than salaried faculty. They do not participate in faculty governance and do not receive salary or benefits outside of nominal remunerations. However, recognizing their contributions with a "clinical faculty" designation denotes the importance of their teaching and service functions. There is no limit on the number of such non-salaried clinical faculty who can be appointed within individual colleges.
- d. Terms of appointments.

(1) Salaried appointments. Salaried clinical faculty are searched for and appointed through recruitment processes also used to search for tenure-track faculty. (See III-9 Appointments.)

Probationary (initial) appointments for salaried clinical faculty are 1 to 3 years in duration. In the final year of the probationary appointment, a full-scale, departmental-collegiate review will be made. This review should take into account the faculty member's demonstrated effectiveness in fulfilling teaching and service missions. It should also include an evaluation of the departmental, collegiate, and university educational and service goals and the likely role of the faculty member in the future in achieving those goals. To assure unified decision making at this point, full central administration review of the departmental-collegiate recommendation is necessary.

After a positive review, salaried clinical faculty will receive a 1- to 7-year appointment commencing at the beginning of the next academic year. Faculty will be reviewed on a schedule commensurate with their appointments annually according to written standards of competence and performance defined by their unit. In the final year of the subsequent appointment, a full-scale, departmental-collegiate review will be made as noted above.

- (2) Non-salaried appointments. Non-salaried clinical faculty are appointed pursuant to procedures adopted by individual colleges and approved by the Office of the Executive Vice President and Provostprovost.
- e. Qualifications for specific ranks. The ranks of clinical faculty shall be assigned as defined below, and in accordance with collegiate policies.
 - (1) Assistant Professor.
 - (a) Evidence of ability in service, to include but not be limited to clinical service.
 - (b) Evidence of ability to contribute to teaching.
 - (2) Associate Professor.
 - (a) Acknowledged record of service and teaching success.
 - (b) Evidence of progress toward a record of professional productivity beyond clinical service, as defined by the college.
 - (3) Professor.
 - (a) Acknowledged record of service and teaching success.
 - (b) An established record of professional productivity beyond clinical service, as defined by the college.
 - (c) Unmistakable evidence of recognition by peers, as defined by the college.
 - (4) Demonstration of artistic or scholarly achievement shall not be a requirement for reappointment or promotion of clinical-track faculty.
- f. Titles. All titles of clinical faculty shall contain the term "clinical" as a modifier. Exact titles must be stipulated in college procedures and approved by the Office of the Executive Vice President and Provost.
- g. Promotion.
 - (1) Salaried clinical faculty. The question of promotion of clinical faculty may be brought up during any regular promotions cycle. Promotion of salaried clinical faculty will follow university and collegiate <u>Procedures for Clinical-Track Promotion</u>

- <u>Decision Making at the University of Iowa</u>. All recommendations for promotion of salaried clinical faculty are submitted to the Board of Regents for approval.
- (2) Non-salaried clinical faculty. Procedures and criteria for the promotion of non-salaried clinical faculty shall be adopted by individual colleges and approved by the Office of the Executive Vice President and Provost. The provisions of LIL-10.5 and those regarding salaried clinical faculty described herein do not apply.
- h. Termination and non-renewal.
 - (1) Salaried clinical faculty.
 - (a) Termination of salaried clinical faculty during the term of the appointment must be for failure to meet written standards of competence and performance established by the unit and the university or violation of any applicable university policy.
 - (b) A decision not to renew an appointment of a salaried clinical faculty member may be for failure to meet written standards of competence and performance established by the unit and the university, or for changed economic circumstances or program needs such that the position itself is terminated. Non-renewal for changed economic circumstances or program needs may only occur at the conclusion of an appointment and with the required notice.
 - (c) Notice of non-renewal of appointment, or of intention not to recommend reappointment shall be given by email in accordance with the following standards:
 - (i) During the probationary appointment, salaried clinical faculty shall be given notice of non-renewal no later than two months prior to the end of an academic-year appointment and no later than three months prior to the end of a fiscal-year appointment.
 - (ii) For all other salaried clinical-track faculty appointments, notice of non-renewal shall be given no later than six months prior to the end of the appointment.
 - (2) Non-salaried clinical faculty. Grounds and procedures for the termination or non-renewal of non-salaried clinical faculty shall be adopted by individual colleges and approved by the Provost provost. Decisions to terminate or not renew non-salaried clinical faculty appointments will be reviewed by the dean of the college in which the faculty member was appointed. However, because non-salaried clinical faculty are not considered employees of the university, such decisions are not subject to the provisions of III-29 Faculty Dispute Procedures.
- Salaried clinical-track faculty disputes. Salaried clinical-track faculty disputes are governed by III-29.8 Specialized-Faculty Grievances.
- j. Collegiate policies and guidelines.
 - (1) Every college that plans to offer salaried, non-tenured clinical faculty appointments must develop its own written policy statement with respect to such appointments, subject to approval by its own faculty and by the <u>Provostprovost</u>.
 - (2) The resulting policy statement will provide detailed guidelines for every relevant item in this section on "clinical faculty." In the development of a policy statement, the following elements should be addressed:
 - (a) Participation in collegiate faculty governance. Policies for the participation of clinical faculty in collegiate faculty governance, including in the hiring of tenure and clinical-track faculty, will be developed by each college using its

- usual governance procedures, provided, however, that no such governance policy shall permit clinical-track faculty to vote on the reappointment, tenure, or promotion of any tenured or tenure-track faculty member.
- (b) Procedures for appointment, reappointment, and promotion.
- (c) Criteria for appointment, reappointment, and promotion.
- (d) Participation in peer review for appointment, reappointment, and promotion of other faculty.
- (e) Teaching. If the college defines "teaching" as training or instruction given to individuals or small groups while service is delivered, then that limited definition will apply to the evaluation of teaching for appointment, reappointment, and promotion purposes.
- (f) Professional productivity beyond clinical service.

10.96(2) Research-Track Faculty

Preamble. Consistent with the university's need to retain the flexibility to adjust its programs to meet the changing needs of the modern research university, non-tenured research-track faculty may be appointed and promoted as provided below. This policy sets parameters within which individual colleges can develop policies and procedures that permit the hiring of research-track faculty. Operationally, collegiate policy would amplify this policy.

- a. Definitions. Research-track faculty hold positions through which they contribute primarily to the research mission of the university and hold faculty rank at assistant professor, associate professor, or professor. Research-track faculty are not eligible for tenure. Research-track faculty members can participate in internal college governance by the approval of the tenured/tenure-track faculty but cannot vote on the hiring of tenured/tenure-track or clinical-track faculty. With regard to Faculty Senate representation, no more than 10 percent of the senators from any college, or one senator, whichever is greater, may be research-track faculty from that college and may be appointed to any committees of the Senate or to university charter committees as a faculty representative. They can qualify for awards and can compete for internal research grants in the same manner as research scientists who are professional and scientific staff.
- b. Role of research-track faculty. Research-track faculty will devote almost all most of their time to performing externally supported research and are expected to submit or assist in the submission of research grant applications. Teaching is an essential function of all faculty, but in the case of the research-track faculty it would occur predominantly in the form of service on doctoral committees (including serving as a co-chair with a tenure-track faculty member) with the approval of the Graduate College. Research-track faculty cannot be assigned to teach courses, but they could provide auxiliary lectures on areas of knowledge relevant to their research or to engage in other teaching as may be required by the terms of their research grant or contract. Research-track faculty cannot be assigned to perform administrative functions with little or no research obligations as that assignment would be inconsistent with this policy.
- c. Collegiate policies and changes; size of research faculty.
 - (1) Every college that plans to offer salaried, non-tenured research-track faculty appointments must develop its own written policy statement with respect to such appointments consistent with all the terms of this policy. Any policy must address each of the following:

- (a) Participation of research-track faculty in collegiate faculty governance, including the appointment, reappointment, promotion, and peer review of other research faculty. Research-track faculty may not participate in any personnel decisions relating to the appointment, reappointment, promotion, and peer review of tenured/tenure-track or clinical-track faculty.
- (b) Procedures for appointment, reappointment, and promotion of researchtrack faculty.
- (c) Criteria for appointment, reappointment, and promotion for research-track faculty.
- (d) Description of the role of salary support in the decision to renew or terminate appointments, including a statement regarding whether limited bridge funding may be available, but not guaranteed, from the department or college from funds other than general education funds.
- (e) Research-track faculty members may apply for open positions in the tenure track, but they may be appointed to the tenure track only one time during their career at the University of Iowa. Colleges may further define their own policies related to track switching.
- (2) Each college adopting a research-track policy shall fix the size of its total salaried faculty that may hold research-track appointments. The limit on the number of research faculty in any college shall be the greater of eight faculty members or ten percent of the tenured/tenure-track faculty (computed in FTEs) of that college.
- (3) Support for research-track faculty, including all start-up costs, shall be funded exclusively by grants, contracts, clinical income, and private donations, and not with general education funds.
- (4) The initial policy to create a research track in a college and any proposal made at any time to change that policy within a college must obtain both the approval of a majority of the tenured/tenure-track faculty within the college and the approval of a majority of and the research-track faculty within the college by a vote supervised by the Associate Provost for Faculty. Any proposal to adopt or to change a college's research track policy must be approved by the University of Iowa Faculty Senate, the Provost, and the President. Any collegiate policy must be wholly consistent with this policy.
- d. Terms of appointments. Research-track faculty are sought and appointed through recruitment processes used to appoint tenure-trackregular faculty.

Probationary (initial) appointments for research-track faculty are 1 to 3 years in duration. After 3 years or prior to that if a promotion is contemplated, a full-scale, departmental-collegiate review will be made. This review should take into account the research-track faculty member's effectiveness in fulfilling the research mission and the ability of the research-track faculty member to obtain and sustain extramural salary support. It also should include an evaluation of the departmental, collegiate, and university research goals and the likely role of the research-track faculty member in the future in achieving those goals. To assure adherence to standard procedures, a full central administration review of the departmental-collegiate recommendation is necessary.

After approval of the departmental-collegiate review, research-track faculty will receive terms of appointment consistent with established procedures for non-tenure-track university employees; however, appointments cannot be for a period longer than current

external support for that faculty member.

Research-track faculty will be reviewed on a schedule commensurate with their appointments annually, according to written standards of competence and performance defined by their college and departments. Reappointments are to be made only if the research faculty member has a demonstrated record of successfully obtaining external support to fund the research-track faculty member's research.

- e. Qualifications for specific ranks. The ranks of research-track faculty shall be assigned as defined below, and in accordance with collegiate policies. Candidates for promotion shall be evaluated primarily upon the quality of their research (see paragraph g).
 - (1) Assistant professor.
 - (a) Terminal degree (or its equivalent) appropriate to the field.
 - (b) Evidence of productive scholarship.
 - (c) Evidence of ability to fulfill relevant responsibilities in the research enterprise.
 - (2) Associate professor.
 - (a) Terminal degree (or its equivalent) appropriate to the field.
 - (b) Record of productive scholarship in high-quality venues such as peerreviewed journals, conference proceedings, or books appropriate to the discipline.
 - (c) Evidence of extramural research funding and sustained salary support from extramural grants and/or contracts on which the faculty member is listed as key personnel.
 - (d) Fulfillment of important responsibilities in the research enterprise.
 - (e) Clear evidence of regional recognition by peers.
 - (3) Professor.
 - (a) Terminal degree (or its equivalent) appropriate to the field.
 - (b) Established record of productive scholarship in high-quality venues such as peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, or books appropriate to the discipline.
 - (c) Established record of sustained research funding with substantial salary support from extramural grants and/or contracts on which the faculty member is listed as key personnel.
 - (d) Sustained fulfillment of important responsibilities to the research enterprise.
 - (e) Clear evidence of national or international recognition by peers.
- f. Titles. All titles of research-track faculty shall contain the term "research" as a modifier. Exact titles must be stipulated in college procedures and approved by the <u>Provostprovost</u>.
- g. Promotion. The question of promotion of research-track faculty may be brought up during any regular promotions cycle. Candidates for promotion shall be evaluated primarily upon the quality of their research. Incidental teaching and service activities may also be given some consideration as permitted by collegiate and department guidelines. Promotion of research-track faculty will follow university and collegiate Promotion Track Promotion Making at the University of Iowa. All recommendations for promotion of research faculty are submitted to the Board of Regents for approval.
- h. Termination and non-renewal.
 - (1) Termination during the term of the appointment due to end of funding. In cases where a research-track faculty member's funding ends before the end of the

- appointment, the faculty member shall receive three months' written notice prior to termination.
- (2) Termination during the term of the appointment for reasons other than end of funding. Termination of a research-track faculty member during the term of their appointment may also occur for failure to meet written standards of competence and performance established by the university or the unit or violation of any applicable university policy.
- (3) Non-renewal of appointment. Notice of non-renewal or the intention not to renew an appointment shall be given by email according to the following standards:
 - (a) During a probationary appointment on the research track, notice of nonrenewal shall be given no later than 3 months prior to the end of the appointment.
 - (b) During a second or subsequent appointment on the research track, notice of non-renewal shall be given no later than 6 months prior to the end of the appointment.
- (4) A decision for termination or non-renewal of research-track faculty is subject to the provisions of <a href="https://linear.ncbi.nlm.n
- Research-track faculty disputes. Research-track faculty disputes are governed by III-29.8 Specialized-Faculty Grievances.

10.69(3) Instructional Faculty

Preamble. Consistent with the university's need to fulfill its important public educational mission, instructional-track faculty may be appointed and promoted as provided below. This policy sets parameters within which individual colleges can develop specific policies and procedures that permit the hiring of instructional-track faculty (see paragraph i below). Operationally, any collegiate policy will be consistent with this policy and subject to approval by the Provost.

- a. Definitions. Instructional faculty contribute predominantly to the teaching mission of the university and may also do some service. Instructional faculty typically occupy full-time positions, but must be 0.5 FTE or greater. They participate in the faculty governance process as described below and as defined by individual colleges and the <u>Faculty Senate</u>. They are not eligible for tenure.
 - Instructional faculty shall hold rank at Assistant Professor of Instruction, Assistant Professor of Practice, Associate Professor of Instruction, Associate Professor of Practice, Professor of Instruction, or Professor of Practice as defined below in III
 10.11d Qualifications for Specific Ranks.
- b. Role of instructional faculty. Instructional faculty may be hired to teach courses or to educate and prepare students for their professional roles. Instructional faculty shall devote a substantial amount of their time to providing or overseeing the delivery of instruction to University of Iowa students in the classroom or in other settings appropriate to the discipline. Instructional faculty may also perform administrative and/or service functions; however, instructional faculty shall not be assigned primarily to perform service or administrative functions with few or no educational obligations. Similarly, research, scholarship, or artistic creation shall not be a requirement for appointment, reappointment, or promotion of instructional faculty, but may be considered as evidence of professional productivity if provided for by collegiate policy.

- c. Hiring and terms of appointments. Salaried instructional faculty are searched for and appointed through university-wide recruitment processes. (See III-9 Hiring and Appointments.)
 - (1) Assistant professors shall receive a probationary (initial) 1- to 3-year appointment. If an applicant is hired as an associate or full professor, the college may choose to provide a probationary 1- to 3-year appointment.
 - (2) Terms of appointments subsequent to a probationary period vary, based on rank:
 - (a) Assistant Professor of Instruction or Assistant Professor of Practice: Upon meeting the qualifications prescribed below, and upon mutual agreement of an applicant and the department and/or college, an applicant may be appointed as an instructional faculty member at the rank of Assistant Professor. Following the initial probationary appointment, Assistant Professors, if renewed, shall receive one- to three-year appointments.
 - (b) Associate Professor of Instruction or Associate Professor of Practice: Upon meeting the qualifications prescribed in III-10.11d, and upon mutual agreement of the faculty member and the department and/or college, an instructional faculty member may be hired or promoted into the Associate Professor of Instruction or Associate Professor of Practice rank. Following the probationary appointment, Associate Professors, if renewed, shall receive 3- to 5-year appointments.
 - (c) Professor of Instruction or Professor of Practice: Upon meeting the qualifications prescribed below, and upon mutual agreement of the faculty member and the department and/or college, an instructional faculty member may be hired or promoted into the Professor of Instruction or Professor of Practice rank. Following the probationary appointment, Professors, if renewed, shall receive 3- to 7-year appointments.
 - (d) A decision to terminate, not to renew, or not to promote an instructional faculty member may occur only as described below.
- d. Qualifications for specific ranks. The ranks of instructional faculty shall be assigned according to the qualifications below, and in accordance with collegiate policies.
 - (1) Assistant Professor of Instruction:
 - (a) Terminal degree or other educational qualifications appropriate to the position;
 - (b) Evidence of potential in teaching, which can be demonstrated through experience in classroom teaching (including as a teaching assistant), pedagogically related advising or mentoring, curriculum development, or other means; and
 - (c) Evidence of potential to contribute to departmental and collegiate service and/or professional productivity, if the appointment will require service and/or professional productivity.
 - (2) Assistant Professor of Practice:
 - (a) Terminal degree or other educational qualifications appropriate to the position;
 - (b) Experience in a profession relevant to the position;
 - (c) Evidence of potential in teaching, which can be demonstrated through experience in classroom teaching (including as a teaching assistant),

- pedagogically related advising or mentoring, curriculum development, or other means; and
- (d) Evidence of potential to contribute to departmental and collegiate service and/or professional productivity, if the appointment will require service and/or professional productivity.
- (3) Associate Professor of Instruction:
 - (a) Terminal degree or other educational qualifications appropriate to the position;
 - (b) Established record of excellence in teaching; and
 - (c) Established record of excellence beyond teaching in professional productivity and/or service, if required and as defined by the college.
- (4) Associate Professor of Practice:
 - (a) Terminal degree or other educational qualifications appropriate to the position;
 - (b) Experience and achievement in a profession relevant to the position;
 - (c) Established record of excellence in teaching, advising students, developing curricula, or other pedagogical activities related to expertise, or an established record of success in professional endeavors indicating the potential for such excellence; and
 - (d) Established record of excellence in professional productivity and/or service, if required and as defined by the college.
- (5) Professor of Instruction:
 - (a) Terminal degree or other educational qualifications appropriate to the position;
 - (b) Sustained record of excellence across a range of teaching endeavors as recognized by faculty and students within the department, college, and/or university community; and
 - (c) Sustained record of excellence beyond teaching in professional productivity and/or service, if required and as defined by the college.
- (6) Professor of Practice:
 - (a) Terminal degree or other educational qualifications appropriate to the position;
 - (b) Substantial experience and outstanding achievement in a profession relevant to the position;
 - (c) Sustained record of excellence in teaching, advising students, developing curricula, or other pedagogical activities related to expertise, or a sustained record of success in professional endeavors indicating the potential for such excellence; and
 - (d) Sustained record of excellence in professional productivity and/or service, if required and as defined by the college.
- e. Titles. Colleges shall use the title Assistant Professor of Instruction or Assistant Professor of Practice for the first rank of instructional faculty. Colleges may choose the Associate Professor/Professor of Instruction titles or Associate Professor/Professor of Practice titles, or both, for subsequent ranks. Colleges may use these titles in conjunction with particular subject matters, if desired (e.g., Professor of Practice in Marketing; Professor of Instruction in Legal Analysis, Writing, and Research). Exact titles shall be stipulated in college procedures and approved by the Provostprovost.

- f. Review and promotion. All reviews of instructional faculty shall be conducted annually according to written standards of competence and performance defined by the relevant units and in compliance with applicable university policies. Promotion of instructional faculty shall occur during the regular faculty promotion cycle and shall follow both collegiate procedures and Procedures for Instructional Faculty Promotion Decision Making at the University of Iowa. Given that promotion decisions within instructional faculty ranks do not carry the same "up or out" decision associated with tenure, a negative recommendation on a promotion request need not translate into termination of employment.
- g. Decisions to terminate or not to renew.
 - (1) Termination. Termination of instructional faculty during the term of the appointment shall be for failure to meet written standards of competence and performance established by the unit or violation of any applicable university policy.
 - (2) Non-renewal.
 - (a) A decision not to renew an appointment during the probationary period is within the discretion of the dean or designee.
 - (b) A decision not to renew any other instructional faculty appointment shall be for failure to meet written standards of competence and performance established by the unit, violation of any applicable university policy, changed economic circumstances, or program or curricular needs.
 - (3) Notice. Notice of non-renewal of appointment, or of intention to recommend non-renewal shall be given by email in accordance with the following standards.
 - (a) Instructional-track faculty in the probationary period shall be given notice of non-renewal no later than 2 months prior to the end of an academic-year appointment and no later than 3 months prior to the end of a fiscal-year appointment.
 - (b) For all other instructional-track faculty appointments, notice of non-renewal shall be given no later than 6 months prior to the end of the appointment.
- h. Instructional faculty disputes. Instructional-track faculty disputes are governed by the III-29.8 Specialized-Faculty Grievances .
- i. Collegiate policies and guidelines.
 - (1) Every college that offers salaried, non-tenure-track instructional faculty appointments shall develop its own written policy statement with respect to such appointments consistent with all the terms of this policy. Each policy shall address all of the following items:
 - (a) Justification for hiring instructional faculty. Each college shall provide a statement describing the justification for hiring instructional faculty, rather than tenure-track or tenured faculty, to fulfill the college's teaching mission.
 - (b) Participation of instructional faculty in faculty governance. Colleges are encouraged to integrate instructional faculty into relevant matters of collegiate and departmental governance, as appropriate. Specifically, colleges and departments are encouraged to allow instructional faculty to participate in the review of other instructional faculty. In addition, collegiate or departmental policy shall not permit instructional-track faculty to vote on the reappointment, tenure, or promotion of any tenured or tenure-track faculty member, but colleges and departments have discretion to decide

- whether instructional faculty may participate in the review of other faculty tracks.
- (c) Evaluation of instructional faculty. Every instructional faculty member shall be evaluated annually, but not every annual evaluation must be equally extensive. Colleges shall define appropriate evaluations, including intervals for extensive and less extensive evaluations. Colleges shall specify the criteria used to evaluate instructional faculty, and those criteria shall be consistent with the instructional faculty member's workload allocation. See paragraph i(1)(g) below.
- (d) Procedures for appointment, reappointment, and promotion of instructional faculty, including distinction between Instruction and Practice ranks, if appropriate.
- (e) Criteria for appointment, reappointment, and promotion for instructional faculty, including which degree(s) or educational qualifications are required under paragraph d above.
- (f) Service and/or professional productivity. The collegiate policy shall define what type of service and/or professional productivity, if any, is expected of instructional faculty, and this definition shall be applied to the evaluation criteria for appointment, reappointment, and promotion purposes. Research, scholarship, or artistic creation shall not be a requirement for appointment, reappointment, or promotion, but, if present, may be considered as evidence of professional productivity.
- (g) Workload. Colleges shall specify the standard expectation for calculation of teaching and service load for its instructional faculty, including for part-time instructional faculty. Additionally, the colleges' individual employment contracts shall specify expectations for workload allocation (e.g., the percentage of time the faculty member shall devote to teaching, service, administration, or other functions) for each instructional faculty member.
- (h) Eligibility to apply for tenure-track positions. Instructional faculty members may apply for open positions on the tenure track, but they may be appointed to the tenure track only one time during their career at the University of lowa. Similarly, tenure-track faculty may apply for open instructional faculty positions, but an instructional faculty appointment shall not be used as an automatic default appointment for accomplished teachers who made an unsuccessful tenure bid. Colleges may further define their own policies related to switching of tracks.
- (2) Each college adopting an instructional faculty policy shall monitor the percentage of its total salaried faculty (computed in FTEs) that hold salaried instructional faculty appointments.
- (3) Each collegiate instructional faculty policy, and any subsequent proposals to change the policy, shall obtain the approval of a majority of the voting faculty within the college by a referendum supervised by the Associate Provost for Faculty. Any proposal to adopt or to change a college's instructional faculty policy shall also be approved by the <u>Provost provost</u> or designee.

- j. Participation by instructional faculty in the university mission. Consistent with the role of instructional faculty and with institutional needs, instructional faculty shall be full participants in the educational mission and intellectual life of the university. The university, as well as individual colleges and departments, shall strive to integrate instructional faculty into faculty governance, to stimulate innovation and collaboration in their teaching and other endeavors, to promote diversity and inclusion among their ranks, and to protect their academic freedom.
- k. Representation in Faculty Senate. Instructional faculty are eligible to vote in Faculty Senate elections and to hold Senate positions; however, no more than 20 percent of the senators from any college, or one senator, whichever is greater, may be instructional-track faculty from that college. Instructional faculty may be appointed to any committees of the Senate or to university committees as a faculty representative.

10.10 (Reserved for future use)

10.11 (Reserved for future use)

10.12 Fixed-Term Faculty Appointments

(President and Faculty Senate 4/13; amended 5/18; 1/1/24)

Effective January 1, 2024, this policy has been revised. For individual changes, see the <u>redlined</u> <u>version</u>.

There are occasions when it is appropriate and necessary to hire fixed-term faculty to provide instruction and, in some cases, to participate in research and service. Fixed-term faculty appointments include visitors, adjuncts, and instructors. Fixed-term faculty appointments are made for a specific term length designated at the time of hire and subject to nonrenewal at the end of that term. Reappointment is possible for all fixed-term positions, subject to positive performance evaluations and educational need. Visitor positions are only allowed for a maximum of three years. The percentage of time, length of appointment, assigned duties, and benefits eligibility vary (see III-9.6 Affirmative Action Employment Guidelines for hiring requirements and University Benefits for benefits eligibility guidelines). The requirements for the recruitment, hiring, and promotion of fixed-term faculty are detailed on the Office of the Provost's website under Fixed-Term Appointments (https://provost.uiowa.edu/fixed-term-appointments).

10.13 Exceptions to Employment Regulations

Contracts of employment made by the University with the members of its instructional staff are subject to the following exceptions and general regulations:

a. The employment of members of the Departments of Aerospace Military Studies and Military Science, and coaches of the University athletic teams in the Department of Intercollegiate Athletics, whose tenures are fixed by the United States Air Force or Army, or by the Presidential Committee on Athletics respectively, are not governed by these regulations.

b. Deans, directors, chairpersons, or heads of departments have such academic rank as may be given them. The duties and titles of administrative officers are separate from their academic ranks. The dismissal or resignation of officers from an administrative position does not impair their rights in their academic rank.

